

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vrignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/965,094 09/28/2001  |             | Roy C. Iggulden      | 2001 P 18160 US     | 3397             |
| T590 07/09/2003  Law offices of Jerome J. Norris  Ste # 305 1901 Pennsylvania Ave N.W. |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|  |             |                      | DEO, DUY VU NGUYEN  |                  |
| Washington, DC 20006   |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |             | ·                    | 1765                |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DATE MAILED: 07/09/2003



|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | 09/965,094  | IGGULDEN ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|   | DuyVu n Deo   | 1765   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 S</u>  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| •—  | s action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowal<br>closed in accordance with the practice under E<br>Disposition of Claims  | nce except for formal matters, pre<br>Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4  | osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are objected to.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   | election requirement.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  | ·   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept   | ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exan  | niner.   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the   | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se  | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on   | is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapprov  | ved by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl  | •   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa  | ıminer.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents   | have been received.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit</li> <li>application from the International Bure</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>  | eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | _  |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic  | •   |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\_$ a) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language prov  | risional application has been rece  | eived.   |  |  |  |  |
| 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic  | priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120   | and/or 121.  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   | _   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  | 5) Notice of Informal Pa  | (PTO-413) Paper No(s)<br>atent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/965,094

Art Unit: 1765

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lou et al. (US 6,110,826).

Referring to claims 1, 5, 6, Lou describes a method for forming a dual damascene structure comprising: etching line trench pattern into the intermetal dielectric layer (col. 6, line 1-4) and coating the line trench pattern with a liner, such as Ti/TiN (col. 6, line 16-29) (this would read on claimed a given damascene structure coated by a liner); removing the liner everywhere excepting the sidewalls of the trench (col. 6, line 30-31) (this would read on claimed the liner which purposely provides poor step coverage into the afore mentioned structure); depositing W by CVD and performing CMP on the W to planarize the metal (col. 6, line 60-col. 7, line 5) (this would read on claimed followed by a CVD W deposition and followed by a metal isolation technique).

Referring to claim 2-4, the liner is a TiN and depositing by PVD (col. 6, line 26-29). Since the deposition process is the same as that of the claimed invention, this would also read on claimed the liner is deposited in a way to provide poor step coverage like quick deposition.

Referring to claim 7, the dual damascene further comprises an etch-stop silicon nitride layer (col. 5, line 49-50).

Application/Control Number: 09/965,094

Art Unit: 1765

7

Referring to claims 8, 10, 11, the method further comprises etching through the line trench pattern in a first photoresist layer into the IMD layer until the etch-stop is reached using gases of O2, He, and CF4 (col. 5, line 65-col. Line 7) and etching through the contact hole pattern in a second photoresist layer into the ILD layer using gases of Ar, CHF3, and C4F8 (col. 6, line 48-52).

Referring to claim 9, the method further comprises cleaning the contact hole by RIE (claim 14).

#### Claim Objections

3. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: the limitations of claim 1 are not written as steps of a method. For the clarity, they should be written into individual step. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 is indefinite because the limitation "etc, refer to list in description" includes elements not actually disclosed, thereby rendering the scope of the claim unascertainable.

6. Claim 7 recites the limitation "said etch-stop". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/965,094 Page 4

Art Unit: 1765

7. Claim 8 recites the limitation "said etching through said contact hole pattern in said

second photoresist layer into said ILD layer". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this

limitation in the claim.

8. Claim 9 recites the limitation "said cleaning". There is insufficient antecedent basis for

this limitation in the claim.

9. Claim 10 recites the limitation "said etching through said line trench pattern of said first

photoresist layer into said IMD layer". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation

in the claim.

10. Claim 11 recites the limitation "said etching through said line trench pattern in said first

photoresist layer into said IMD layer". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation

in the claim.

Specification

11. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the brief description

of the drawings in line 28 describes figure 3e; however, there is no figure 3e in the drawing. At

this time it will be understood as figure 1e.

Appropriate correction is required.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to DuyVu n Deo whose telephone number is 703-305-0515.

DVD

July 8, 2003

de